UMSAEP Project Report: Time Scales Analysis of Mathematical Models in Population Biology

Martin Bohner

Department of Mathematics and Statistics Missouri S&T, Rolla, MO 65409, USA bohner@mst.edu

2 Results of Visit

During my stay at UWC, Professor Patidar and I have developed a method how to \translate" any continuous-time model (consisting of di erential equations) into a time-scales (see [2,3]) model in a \correct" way, namely preserving nonnegativity of solutions that start with nonnegative initial conditions, and preserving other important properties of solutions. Some related results are given in [4{6]. This has direct applications to discrete-time models and quantum-time models. In order to illustrate our method, we took three important systems from epidemiology literature and performed our analysis. The rst pertinent system has two equations, the second one has four equations, and the third one has six equations. In the rest of this section, we now present our results for each of these models.

2.1 Two Equations

This system originates in [7, Equation (1)] and models the spread of a disease in a population that is subdivided into compartments of susceptible (S(t)) and infected (I(t)) subpopulations given by

 $S^0 = B$ SI mS I

Figure 2: Solutions of (3) when T = Z

we calculated the endemic equilibrium and the disease-free equilibrium for (2) and performed other calculations. Some exemplary plots of solutions are pictured in Figure 2 for T = Z and in Figure 3 for $T = q^{N_0}$.

2.2 Four Equations

This system stems from [7, Equation (16)] and appears as a vaccination model for the transmission dynamics of two HIV subtypes in a given community. The total population (N(t)) is subdivided into the the sub-populations of wholly susceptible individuals (X(t)), vaccinated susceptible individuals (V(t)), individuals infected with an endemic HIV subtype 1 ($Y_1(t)$), and

Figure 3: Solutions of (3) when $T\,=\,q^{N_0}$

individuals infected with an invading HIV subtype 2 $(Y_2(t)),\,$ given by

 $X^{0} = B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \end{pmatrix} mX - \frac{{}^{1}C}{N}XY_{1} = 2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.6968.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.6968.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.6968.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.6968.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} 14.69688.201 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Td} (2901SQBT 298.523324.192 \text{ Td} (N)\text{Tj} (N)\text{Td} (N)\text{Td}$

where the parameters are described in [7, Table 6]. Our time scales analogue of (4) appears as

For isolated time scales (e.g., when T = Z or $T = q^{N_0}$), (5) can be, after some time scales calculations, rewritten as

$$X = \frac{X + B (1 - r)}{1 + m + \frac{1^{\circ} Y_{1}}{N} + \frac{2^{\circ} Y_{2}}{N}};$$

$$V = \frac{V + Br}{1 + m + \frac{(1 - 1) - 1^{\circ} Y_{1}}{N} + \frac{(1 - 2) - 2^{\circ} Y_{2}}{N}};$$

$$Y_{1} = \frac{1 + \frac{-1^{\circ} X}{N} + \frac{(1 - 1) - 1^{\circ} V}{N}}{1 + (m + 1 + 1)} Y_{1};$$

$$Y_{2} = \frac{1 + \frac{-2^{\circ} X}{N} + \frac{(1 - 2) - 2^{\circ} V}{N}}{1 + (m + 2 + 1)} Y_{2};$$
(6)

It is now clear, given all parameters are nonnegative and the initial conditions are nonnegative, that the solutions to (6) remain nonnegative. Furthermore, we discussed the disease-free equilibrium, the subtype 1 only equilibrium, the subtype 2 only equilibrium, the co-existence equilibrium, and performed other calculations. equilibrium and the disease-free equilibrium for (2) and performed other calculations. We did some initial plots of solutions which reassured the \correctness" of our system (5), but we still have to prepare some exemplary plots of solutions, and these are therefore not pictured here. They will be pictured in the nal version of the paper that will be submitted for publication.

2.3 Six Equations

This system comes from [8, Equation (2.1)] (see also [1]) and describes the transmission dynamics of an HIV-TB co-infection, combining two states for

HIV (HIV and HIV₊) with three states for TB (susceptible (S_i), latent (E_i), and infectious (I_i) individuals). It is given by

$$\begin{split} S_{1}^{0} = B \quad S_{1} \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} & {}_{1}S_{1} \quad f(H)HS_{1}; \\ E_{1}^{0} = ((1 \quad p_{1})S_{1} \quad q_{1}E_{1}) \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} & (a_{1} + \ _{1})E_{1} + b_{1}I_{1} \\ f(H)HE_{1}; \\ I_{1}^{0} = (p_{1}S_{1} + q_{1}E_{1}) \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} & (b_{1} + m_{1})I_{1} + a_{1}E_{1} \\ f(H)HI_{1}; \\ S_{2}^{0} = \quad S_{2} \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} & {}_{2}S_{2} + f(H)HS_{1}; \\ E_{2}^{0} = ((1 \quad p_{2})S_{2} \quad q_{2}E_{2}) \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} & (a_{2} + \ _{2})E_{2} + b_{2}I_{2} \\ & + f(H)HE_{1}; \\ I_{2}^{0} = (p_{2}S_{2} + q_{2}E_{2}) \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} & (b_{2} + m_{2})I_{2} + a_{2}E_{2} \\ & + f(H)HI_{1}; \end{split}$$

where the parameters are described in [8, Table 1]. Our time scales analogue of (7) appears as

$$\begin{split} S_{1} &= B \quad S_{1} \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} \quad {}_{1}S_{1} \quad f(H)HS_{1}; \\ E_{1} &= ((1 \quad p_{1})S_{1} \quad q_{1}E_{1}) \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} \quad (a_{1} + \ {}_{1})E_{1} + b_{1}I_{1} \\ &f(H)HE_{1}; \\ I_{1} &= (p_{1}S_{1} + q_{1}E_{1}) \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} \quad (b_{1} + m_{1})I_{1} + a_{1}E_{1} \\ &f(H)HI_{1}; \\ S_{2} &= S_{2} \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} \quad {}_{2}S_{2} + f(H)HS_{1}; \\ E_{2} &= ((1 \quad p_{2})S_{2} \quad q_{2}E_{2}) \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} \quad (a_{2} + \ {}_{2})E_{2} + b_{2}I_{2} \\ &+ f(H)HE_{1}; \\ I_{2} &= (p_{2}S_{2} + q_{2}E_{2}) \quad \frac{k_{1}I_{1} + k_{2}I_{2}}{N} \quad (b_{2} + m_{2})I_{2} + a_{2}E_{2} \\ &+ f(H)HI_{1}: \end{split}$$

[3] Martin Bohner and Allan Peterson.